## Chattanooga-Hamilton County Regional Planning Agency PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

CASE NUMBER: 2018-0013	PC MEETING DATE: 01/08/2018	<b>APPLICANT:</b> Independent Healthcare Properties, LLC
<b>PROPERTY OWNER:</b> Ooltewah Development, LLC	<b>PROPERTY ADDRESS:</b> 9730 E. Brainerd Rd., 9742 & 9744 E. Brainerd Rd.	<b>TAX MAP PARCEL ID:</b> 172-056, 172-068, 172-069
SIZE OF PROPERTY: 23.5 Acres	JURISDICTION: Hamilton County	<b>REQUEST:</b> Rezone from R-1 Single- Family Residential to C-2 Local Business Commercial District

**SUMMARY OF REQUEST:** The applicant is requesting to rezone to C-2 Local Business Commercial District and obtain a Special Exceptions Permit for an Assisted Care Living Facility with 260 units. The applicant has included the following self-imposed conditions as part of their application.

- 1. Approved development uses shall include assisted living facilities, senior care facilities, and senior housing facility which consists of no more than three main buildings onsite. All buildings shall be limited to a maximum of two stories in height.
- 2. A 20' vegetative buffer shall be left in place along the eastern boundary of the development. Healthy existing trees to remain and new trees, except where utilities or storm drainage pipes cross said buffer, and shrubs may be planted to achieve type "B" vegetative buffer. Where existing trees are left to remain, additional trees shall be planted, minimum of 2" caliper, to enhance said buffer to achieve the desired type "B" buffer.
- 3. A 6' high vinyl coated chain link fence shall be located along the eastern boundary of the development where residential single-family abut said boundary.
- 4. Roadway improvement to East Brainerd Road shall include a left turn lane and right turn into the development from East Brainerd Road. Said work shall be per the requirements of the Hamilton County Engineering Department.
- 5. A minimum 40' vegetative buffer shall be established along East Brainerd Road and shall be planted with two rows of evergreen and deciduous trees at a minimum of 50' on center, the rows to be planted located a minimum of 20' apart. Trees shall be planted at a minimum 2" caliper. In addition, a decorative fence, with a minimum height 42" shall be constructed within said 40' buffer.
- 6. An 80' undisturbed buffer area will but provided at south property border and shall not be disturbed with exception of replanting, extension of utilities or use for a trail or greenway sponsored by government agency or qualified non-profit organization. Any greenway trail system shall be located as agreed upon by the owner of the property.
- 7. Concrete sidewalks, minimum width of 5' shall be placed inside the right away of East Brainerd Road and as approved by the Hamilton County Engineering Department.
- 8. Proposed buildings onsite shall be located no closer than 150' to the right-of-way of East Brainerd Road.
- 9. No building shall be located closer than 40' to the eastern outer boundary line of the development.

This case is in conjunction with Case 2018-0012 and Case 2018-0013.

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION			
EXISTING LAND USE	SURROUNDING LAND USES	ACCESS	
Undeveloped, vacant land.	North: Low density residential East: Low density residential South: Low density residential West: Vacant Land. Proposed high intensity commercial	The site currently has access to E. Brainerd Rd.	

E. Brainerd Rd. is		AVERAGE RESIDENTIAL DENSITY The approved Planned Unit	NATURAL RESOURCES
		The approved Planned Unit Development for the site has a density	A portion of the site is located within the 100 Year Floodplain.
arterial (2-lane) road. There is no mass transit available to this area.		of 3.4 du/ac.	
The closest EM		01 0.4 00/00.	There are no steep slopes on this site.
minutes north	on Ooltewah-		
Ringgold Rd.			
		ZONING	
ZONING	The site is curre	ntly zoned R-1 Single-Family Residential	District with a Special Permit for a
HISTORY		velopment. This approved PUD was for a	
		following conditions were approved with	the PUD;
	1. No more	than 3.4 units per acre;	
	2. All home	s within the development shall be detach	ned single family;
	3. Roadway	y improvements to East Brainerd Road s	hall include a left tum into the
	developr	nent from East Brainerd Road and a righ	t tum into the development from East
	Brainerd	Road. Entrance to development shall ha	ave a dedicated right tum and left tum
	out of the	e development. Said work per the require	ements of the Hamilton County
		ring Department;	2
	•	getative buffer shall be left in place along	the eastern boundary of the
		nent. Healthy existing trees to remain an	
		o achieve a. Type "B" vegetative buffer;	
		vinyl coated chain link fence shall be loo	cated along the eastern boundary of
	•	lopment where residential lots abut said	•
		getative buffer shall be established along	
		with evergreen and deciduous trees and a	• • • •
		red by Craig Design Group entitled Land	-
		ment. The number of shrubs and trees de	
		se shown and all trees shall be planted a	•
		shall be minimum 3 gallon. In addition, th	
		adjacent to the 15' landscape buffer with	
		nd trees shall be located from 5' to 25' of	
		ained with reasonable practices. Trees s	hall be replanted within 6 months if
	said tree	s are removed for any cause;	
	7. An 80' u	ndisturbed buffer area will be provided at	t south property border and shall not be
	disturbed	with exception of replanting, extension	of utilities or use for a trail or greenway
	sponsore	ed by government agency or qualified no	n-profit organization. Any greenway
	trail syste	em shall be located as agreed upon by th	ne subdivision or HOA;
	8. Concrete	e sidewalks, minimum width of 5 feet, sha	all be placed, inside the right-of-way of
		inerd Road and as approved by the Ham	
	and		
		ial homes where the rear of the house fa	aces East Brainerd Road shall be
		no closer than 40' from the right-of-way c	
		here the side of the home faces Brainer	-
		the right-of-way of East Brainerd Road.	
	30 110111	the nght-or-way of Last Dialiteru Rodu.	
	The site has bee	n through a series (seven) of rezoning c	ases in the last three years. The site
	originally was A-	1 Agricultural District. It was rezoned to (	C-2 Local Business Commercial in
		n #1114-25C). The site was then rezoned	
		Resolution #117-24) with a Planned Unit	
		rty was added to the Planned Unit Devel	opment in February of 2017
	(Resolution #217	(-19B).	

ZONE DIS COMPAT		USE		CURRENT R-1 District	PROPOSED C-2 District (without conditions)
		Single-Fam	ily Residential	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
		Multi-Fami	ly Residential		$\boxtimes$
		Commercial Office			$\boxtimes$
					$\boxtimes$
		Insti	tutional	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
		Lo	dging		$\boxtimes$
		Warehou	ise/Storage		$\boxtimes$
		DEVELOPME	NT STANDARDS	CURRENT R-1 District	PROPOSED C-2 District
			t Size	7500 sq. ft.	7500 sq. ft.
		Set	backs	Front: 25'	Front: 25'
				Side: 10' Rear: 25'	Side: No side yard except when abutting A-1 & residential zones then 10' Rear: 25'
		Buildir	ng Height	35' in height except that a	35' in height except that a
				building may exceed	building may exceed
				these requirements	these requirements
				provided that for every foot of additional height	provided that for every foot of additional height
				over 35' the building shall	over 35' the building shall
				be set back 1' additionally	be set back 1' additionally
				from all property lines.	from all property lines.
		De	ensity	Approximately 4 du/ac.	No Cap
			OTHER DEPAR	RTMENT COMMENTS	
Tra	ansportat	ion Dept.	There are no cor	mments provided.	
		DIS	CUSSION OF ST	AFF RECOMMENDATION	
□ Yes	🛛 No	□ N/A		Y WITH ADOPTED PLANS	
				opted plan for this site. The	
				this location as appropriate for	
				as appropriate for low to mode	
				communities, expansion of e	•
				ure public services and facilitive potential for bike network,	
				ansit. Level 2 is noted as a	-
				at is clustered to promote cons	
			features and lar	nd marks. This site should be	considered as an important
				nd marks. This site should be he more intense Level 3 to Lev	•
			transition from the appropriate	he more intense Level 3 to Lev zoning should reflect this trans	el 2 and Level 1 policy areas. sition from more intense C-2,
			transition from the appropriate	he more intense Level 3 to Lev	el 2 and Level 1 policy areas. sition from more intense C-2,
□ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	transition from the appropriate to other less interest <b>COMPATIBILIT</b>	he more intense Level 3 to Lev zoning should reflect this trans ensive zones such as R-2, R-3 Y WITH ADJACENT LAND USE	el 2 and Level 1 policy areas. sition from more intense C-2, , and O-1. S
□ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	transition from the transition from the transition from the transition from the transition of transition of the transition of transition o	he more intense Level 3 to Level zoning should reflect this trans ensive zones such as R-2, R-3 Y WITH ADJACENT LAND USE ose proximity to the East Brain	el 2 and Level 1 policy areas. sition from more intense C-2, , and O-1. <b>S</b> erd-Ooltewah Ringgold Road
□ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	transition from the appropriate to other less interest of the sector of	he more intense Level 3 to Level zoning should reflect this trans ensive zones such as R-2, R-3 Y WITH ADJACENT LAND USE ose proximity to the East Brain proximately 900 ft.) and serves	el 2 and Level 1 policy areas. sition from more intense C-2, , and O-1. Serd-Ooltewah Ringgold Road as a transition from the more
□ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	transition from the transition from the transition from the transition from the transition of transition of the transition of transition o	he more intense Level 3 to Level zoning should reflect this trans ensive zones such as R-2, R-3 Y WITH ADJACENT LAND USE ose proximity to the East Brain proximately 900 ft.) and serves rcial intersection and a more	el 2 and Level 1 policy areas. sition from more intense C-2, , and O-1. S erd-Ooltewah Ringgold Road as a transition from the more rural-residential uses to the
□ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	transition from the transition from the transition from the transition from the transition of transition of the transition of transition o	he more intense Level 3 to Level zoning should reflect this transfersive zones such as R-2, R-3 Y WITH ADJACENT LAND USE ose proximity to the East Brain proximately 900 ft.) and serves rcial intersection and a more proposed use (assisted living fa	el 2 and Level 1 policy areas. sition from more intense C-2, , and O-1. <b>S</b> erd-Ooltewah Ringgold Road as a transition from the more rural-residential uses to the cility) can serve as a transition
□ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	transition from the transition from the transition from the transition from the transition of tr	he more intense Level 3 to Level zoning should reflect this trans ensive zones such as R-2, R-3 Y WITH ADJACENT LAND USE ose proximity to the East Brain proximately 900 ft.) and serves rcial intersection and a more proposed use (assisted living fa ense commercial land uses al	el 2 and Level 1 policy areas. sition from more intense C-2, , and O-1. <b>ES</b> erd-Ooltewah Ringgold Road as a transition from the more rural-residential uses to the cility) can serve as a transition lowed in C-2, the C-2 Local
□ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	transition from the The appropriate to other less inter <b>COMPATIBILIT</b> This site is in clear intersection (application) intense comment east. While the to the more inter Business Comment	he more intense Level 3 to Level zoning should reflect this trans ensive zones such as R-2, R-3 Y WITH ADJACENT LAND USE ose proximity to the East Brain proximately 900 ft.) and serves rcial intersection and a more proposed use (assisted living fa ense commercial land uses all hercial District allows for uses	el 2 and Level 1 policy areas. sition from more intense C-2, , and O-1. <b>ES</b> erd-Ooltewah Ringgold Road as a transition from the more rural-residential uses to the cility) can serve as a transition lowed in C-2, the C-2 Local (automobile service stations,
□ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	transition from the The appropriate to other less inter- <b>COMPATIBILIT</b> This site is in clear intersection (application) intense comme east. While the to the more inter- Business Comme automobile wrece	he more intense Level 3 to Level zoning should reflect this trans ensive zones such as R-2, R-3 Y WITH ADJACENT LAND USE ose proximity to the East Brain proximately 900 ft.) and serves rcial intersection and a more proposed use (assisted living fa ense commercial land uses al	el 2 and Level 1 policy areas. sition from more intense C-2, , and O-1. <b>ES</b> erd-Ooltewah Ringgold Road as a transition from the more rural-residential uses to the cility) can serve as a transition lowed in C-2, the C-2 Local (automobile service stations, -cleaning plants, tanks for the
□ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	transition from the transition from the transition from the transition from the transition of the transition of the transition (application) for the transition (application) for the transition of transition of the transition of tran	he more intense Level 3 to Level zoning should reflect this trans ensive zones such as R-2, R-3 <b>Y WITH ADJACENT LAND USE</b> ose proximity to the East Brain proximately 900 ft.) and serves rcial intersection and a more proposed use (assisted living fa ense commercial land uses al hercial District allows for uses ker services, oil or gas fired dry	el 2 and Level 1 policy areas. sition from more intense C-2, , and O-1. <b>ES</b> erd-Ooltewah Ringgold Road as a transition from the more rural-residential uses to the cility) can serve as a transition lowed in C-2, the C-2 Local (automobile service stations, -cleaning plants, tanks for the s or gasses, off premise signs, acent to low density residential

□ Yes	🛛 No	□ N/A	COMPATABILITY WITH DEVELOPMENT FORM
			The proposed use is significantly more intense in scale than the immediately surrounding properties to the east, but can with appropriate buffering/screening serve as a transition between the more intense C-2 zone to the west and the adjacent single family residential properties to the east.
□ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	COMPATABILITY WITH INFRASTRUCTURE & NATURAL RESOURCE SYSTEMS
			The lack of mass transit, sidewalks, or a multi-lane roadway makes this site less appropriate for the C-2 Local Business Commercial District of this scale. The site plan submitted with the proposal shows an internal pedestrian path but not how it will be connected to the adjacent C-2 zoned property, as recommended by the Comprehensive Plan.
□ Yes	🖂 No	□ N/A	COMPATIBILITY WITH THE ZONING ORDINANCE
			The proposed use requires an additional Special Permit for an Assisted Care Living Facility which has been submitted as case 2018-0012.
STAFF RECOMMENDATION			
The proposed use is appropriate as a transition between the more intensive commercial zoning to the west and the lower intensity residential uses to the east of the site. However, staff has concerns about using C-2 as a zone for this transition as opposed to R-3 (a less intensive zone than C-2) which can also include restrictions for the proposed use only.			

Therefore, based on the scale and intensity which the C-2 Local Business Commercial District allows, the lack of future transit infrastructure in the area, and a lack of integration between the commercial and residential components, staff recommends denial of the applicant's request however, recommend R-3 Multi-Family Residential District with the following conditions:

- 1. Assisted Care Living Facility only; and
- 2. Integrate connection of the Assisted Living Facility with the future commercial development to the west.